

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Sh.Charanjit Singh,

S/o Sh.Palwinder Jit Singh

R/o Village Surapur

Tehsil & Distt. Nawanshahar

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police

Nawanshahar (Distt. S.B.S. Nagar)

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Deputy Inspector General of Police

Ludhiana .(Police Range)

.... Respondents

Appeal Case No.1745 of 2018

Present: The Appellant, Sh. Charanjit Singh is present.
Sh. Rajiv Kumar, SHO PS Sadar Banga on behalf of
PIO/Respondent.

ORDER:

This case was last heard on 16.10.2018. The Appellant Sh.Charanjit Singh had stated that no information has been provided to him. As such, PIO/Respondent was advised to appear in person or through any of his representative on the next date of hearing alongwith the information sought and copy of postal receipt vide which information had been sent.

Sh.Rajiv Kumar, SHO PS Sadar Banga comes present today and produces authority letter dated 19.11.2018 signed by DSP Sub Division Banga/APIO, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar. He also produced a letter dated 25.11.2018. It is stated that the appellant appeared in the o/o APIO and said that he does not want to get information from his office and that, he will receive information in Commission's office at Chandigarh on the day of hearing.

Shri Rajiv Kumar, SHO, PS Sadar, Banga handed over the information to the appellant in the Court. He also submits a letter showing that the information to the Appellant has been supplied which is from Sr.No.1 to 16 running into pages 1 to 104. The same is taken on record.

Appeal Case No.1745 of 2018

The Appellant is advised to go through the information supplied to him and point out any deficiencies, if any, to the PIO/Respondent by registered post. Copy of the same be sent to the Commission by registered post.

PIO/Respondent is directed to look into the deficiencies pointed out by the Appellant after the same are received by him. He is directed to supply the information/documents whatever are pointed out by the Appellant. He is also directed to give in writing in the form of an affidavit as to which of the information is not available with him and why the same cannot be supplied.

PIO/Respondent is directed to come present on the next date of hearing alongwith the reply in the form of an affidavit as directed above. The Appellant is also advised to come present on the next date of hearing.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing which is fixed for **21.01.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Copies of the orders be sent to both the parties.

Dated: 26.11.2018

**Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner,
Punjab**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Sh. Rajesh Gupta, Village Maili,
Tehsil Garhshankar, Distt. Hoshiarpur.
M :9463990768

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director, Animal Husbandry, Punjab
Livestock Bhawan, Sector-68,
S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

First Appellant Authority,
O/o cum Director, Animal Husbandry, Punjab
Livestock Complex, Sector-68,
S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1281 of 2018

Present: The Appellant Sh. Rajesh Gupta is present.

Dr. KPS Pasricha, APIO is present on behalf of Respondent/ PIO.

ORDER:

This Case was last heard on 03.10.2018. On the last date, the Appellant had stated that he is not satisfied with the information supplied and he had also pointed out deficiencies through e.mail. The Respondent/APIO, Sh. KPS Pasricha stated that the requisite information has already been supplied to the Appellant and that no email had been received by him.

Shri KPS Pasricha comes present today and states that at present, there is no PIO and as such, APIO is present in the Court.

Shri Pasricha, APIO hands over reply to the RTI application to the Appellant. On the asking of the Court, the Appellant says that he wants go through the information point by point which will take a lot of time. He also pointed out certain points such as Point No.1(a) copies of appointment orders of APIO, point 2 regarding copy of letter dated 5.12.04 or 6.12.04 regarding constitution of committee for inspection of medicines; Point No.4, Point No.5, Point B 1 & 2, to which information has not been supplied.

After discussing with both the parties, the information is handed over by the Appellant back to the PIO/Respondent. The APIO Mr. Pasricha is directed to give reply to each point. Wherever it appears that information on certain point cannot be given, PIO/Respondent will give an affidavit giving clarification as to why the information cannot be made available. PIO/Respondent is directed to provide the information/affidavit to the Appellant by registered post.

...1/1

Appeal Case No. 1281 of 2018

Respondent/PIO is afforded the last opportunity to furnish necessary information to the Appellant. In case the requisite information is not supplied to the Appellant before the next date, action as deemed fit under the provisions of RTI Act, will be taken.

The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.01.2019 at 11 A.M.**

Both the parties to come present on next date of hearing.

Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Dated: 26.11.2018

**Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner,
Punjab**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Ms. Swaranjeet Kaur,

Village Bhaowal, PO Bharatgarh,
Tehsil & Distt Ropar-140114

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Asstt. Labour Commissiiner
S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali)
(Workman Compensation Commission,).
DC Office Complex, 4th Floor, Sector-76
S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali)

First Appellant Authority,

O/o Workman Compensation Commission, Ropar

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1252 of 2018

Present: The Appellant, Ms. Swaranjeet Kaur is present.
None is present on behalf of Respondent/ PIO.

ORDER:

This case was last heard on 03.10.2018. The appellant stated that no information has been received by her.

The Respondent/PIO is absent for today's hearing, without any intimation to the Commission.

After discussing with the Appellant, Ms. Swaranjeet Kaur and going through the case file, it has been found that on the previous hearing i.e. 01.08.2018 the Respondent/PIO was absent, but on 26.06.2018, Sh.Barinder Singh, Supdt. O/o Assistant Labour Commissioner, SAS Nagar (Mohali) was present. He had stated that due to shifting of office, record has been misplaced or intermingled with other official record. PIO/Respondent was directed to search the record specifically with regard to the information sought under RTI Act and come present with the requisite information. It was also directed to lodge DDR with the Police in case the case is not found But it is found from the previous proceedings that none is present on behalf of PIO/Respondent to produce the requisite information.

Appeal Case No. 1252 of 2018

In view of the above, PIO O/o, Workman Compensation Commission, Ropar was issued **Show Cause** under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI Appellant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

In addition, the PIO was also given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision thereto, for a personal hearing with the sought for information before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing.

Since the Superintendent, Sh.Barinder Singh, representative of the office of Assistant Labour Commissioner, Mohali was present on 26.06.2018 and he attended the proceedings, copy of order be sent at the address of Assistant Labour Commissioner, Mohali. PIO/Respondent is also directed to supply the information to the Appellant and appear in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing.

The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.01.2019 at 11 A.M.**

Copies of the order to be sent to the parties.

Dated: 26.11.2018

**Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner,
Punjab**

Show Cause:

(Regd. Post) Public Information Officer, (By Name)
O/o Assistant Labour Commissioner
DC Office Complex, Sector 76, 4th Floor,
S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

Encl. RTI Application

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Sh. Jagtar Singh, S/o Sh. Dalip Singh,
Ward No 1, Street No 2, Bagha Patti,
Moga. M : 7837068913

....Complainant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director, State Transport, Punjab,
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No.683 of 2018

Present: Sh. Jagtar Singh, Complainant is present.

Sh.Jatinder Kumar, Inquiry Officer and Sh.Balvir Singh, Senior Assistant,O/o Director, State Transport, Punjab are present on behalf of Respondent / PIO.

ORDER:

This case was last heard on 03.10.2018. Sh.Jagtar Singh, Appellant was present. On behalf of PIO/Respondent, Sh.Darshan Singh, Junior Asstt. was present.

The Complainant, Sh. Ajay Kumar had stated that requisite information has not been provided to him.

After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, Respondent/PIO was advised to supply the information through registered post before next date of hearing and in case, information is not available, he was advised to file a proper reply in the form of an affidavit. Respondent/PIO was also advised to appear in person to clear the facts of this case.

Today, Sh.Jatinder Kumar, Inquiry Officer from o/o PIO/Respondent comes present and produces affidavit in the case, which is taken on record.

Being a complaint case, it was attended to by the PIO/ Respondent. As per affidavit, it is stated that the information pertaining to Sr.No.1 to 4 is personal information under Section 8(1)(j) of RTI Act. A judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court is also cited in support of this contention in the affidavit i.e. Order in SLP (Civil) No.27734 of 2012 titled as Girish Ramchandra Deshpande vs. Central Information Commission & ors. Dated 03.10.2012. Further, it is stated in the affidavit that information sought vide Sr.No.5 is vague, indefinite and not specific as it does not mention year, month or date.

...1/2

Complaint Case No.683 of 2018

I have thoroughly gone through the file including the RTI application of the Complainant; the affidavit produced by the PIO/Respondent, the judgment cited in the affidavit as enclosed therewith and the provisions of RTI Act under Section 8(1)(j). The contention raised on behalf of the PIO/Respondent in regard to points 1 to 4 of RTI Act is that the same cannot be disclosed being 'personal information'. Since the information sought vide Point No.5 is vague as contended by the PIO/Respondent, as there is no mention as to which of the applications with specific dates were submitted by the complainant to the higher officers, to which reply could be given by the PIO/Respondent. This contention is also agreed to.

As per RTI Application, the Complainant has sought details of Conductors placed under suspension, compulsorily retired, their names & Numbers, Embezzlement done, detail of punishment awarded, date of reinstatement in service and the arrears paid on reinstatement etc. As has been observed in the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court, the supply of copies of all memos issued to the third respondent, show cause notices and orders of censure/punishment etc. are qualified to be personal information as detailed in Clause (j) of Section 8(1) of the RTI Act. At the same time, it is also mentioned in the said Section that if the Central Public Information Officer or the State Public Information Officer or the Appellant Authority is satisfied that the larger public interest justifies the disclosure of such information, appropriate orders could be passed but the petitioner cannot claim those details as a matter of right.

In this case, it is observed that the complainant has sought information about the other Conductors who were either suspended or compulsorily retired on account of embezzlement, but were later on reinstated in service. Since the complainant, as has been told by him, was removed from service on account of similar charges, he is justified in demanding the information about other Conductors of his Department who faced charges same or of the higher quantum than him but have been reinstated in service. PIO/Respondent is directed to supply the information to the complainant as asked for by him.

The case is adjourned to next date of hearing which is fixed for **28.01.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Copy of order be sent to both the parties.

Sd/-

(Sanjiv Garg)

**State Information Commissioner,
Punjab**

Dated: 26.11.2018

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120 Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Sh. Jagtar Singh, S/o Sh. Dalip
Singh, Ward No. 1, Street No. 2,
Bagha Purana, Teh. Bagha Purana,
Distt. Moga.M : 7837068913

....Complainant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,
O/o General Manager, Punjab Roadways,
Shri Muktsar Sahib.

...Respondents

Complaint Case No. 638 of 2018

Present: The Complainant, Sh. Jagtar Singh is present.
Sh.Sanjeev Kumar, Clerk is present on behalf of the
Respondent/PIO.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 03.10.2018. The complainant was present. Sh. Pargat Singh, Clerk had appeared on the last date on behalf of PIO/Respondent. He had handed over information to the Complainant Sh.Jagtar Singh during the proceedings and submitted a letter addressed to the Commission.

The Complainant, Sh. Jagtar Singh requested for an adjournment as he wanted to have time for going through the supplied information. His request was acceded to and was advised to point out deficiencies to the PIO/Respondent in writing by registered post with a copy to the Commission.

Today, the Complainant Sh.Jagtar Singh states that incomplete information has been supplied to him. While discussing the matter with both the parties, it is decided that the Complainant will visit the office of PIO/Respondent on **17.12.2018 at 11.00 AM** to inspect the record. PIO/Respondent will give him copies of record whatever has been sought by him under RTI Act. The representative of PIO/Respondent Sh.Sanjeev Kumar, Clerk will ensure that the complainant is allowed to inspect the record and copies are given to him.

The matter is adjourned for further hearing .

Both the parties to come present on **28.01.2019 at 11 A.M.**

Copies of the order to be sent to the parties.

Dated: 26.11.2018

**Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner,
Punjab**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Sh. Ajay Kumar Joshi,
S/o Shri Prem Dutt Joshi,
R/o Village Kalanaur, Tehsil &
Distt. Gurdaspur.

... Complainant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Food & Civil Supplies
Controller, Gurdaspur.

... Respondents

Complaint Case No. 448 of 2018

Present: Sh. Ajay Kumar, Complainant is present.
Sh. Magwinder Singh, Inspector, is present on behalf of Distt. Food & Supplies Controller, Gurdaspur/PIO/Respondent.
Sh. Sumit Kumar, Inspector (Under Suspension), o/o DFSC Gurdaspur.

ORDER

The case was last heard on 03.10.2018. Sh. Ajay Kumar, complainant was present. Nobody was present on behalf of PIO/Respondent.

After the perusal of file, it is observed that on 01.08.2018, Sh. Sumit Kumar, Asstt. was present but he was not aware of the facts. As such, an opportunity was given to supply the information by next date. However, on next date i.e. 03.10.2018, none was present on behalf of PIO/Respondent. In view of this, Show Cause Notice was issued to the PIO/Respondent under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed on the Respondent/PIO for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the Complainant under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

In addition, the PIO was also given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision thereto, for a personal hearing with the sought for information before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. The Complainant, Sh. Ajay Kumar was advised to appear in person on the next date of hearing.

Today, no information has been supplied to the Complainant. Sh. Sumit Kumar, Inspector (U/S) states that he has been placed under suspension and was relieved on the same day. He also states that the record is not traceable and a FIR has also been lodged in this regard on 23.11.2018. His successor Sh. Magwinder Singh, Inspector also did not give any satisfactory reply to make the information available to the Complainant.

Complaint Case No. 448 of 2018

Merely to state that FIR has been lodged for the loss of record on 23.11.2018 cannot absolve both the officials i.e. the present incumbent Sh.Magwinder Singh and his predecessor Sh.Sumit Kumar as well as the PIO/Respondent of their responsibility. PIO/Respondent is also directed to supply the information by the next date of hearing, otherwise, strict action would be taken.

The case is adjourned to the next date of hearing.

Both the parties to come present on **28.01.2019 at 11.00 AM.**

Copies of the order to be sent to the parties.

Dated: 26.11.2018

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner,
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Sh. Ajay Sharma, S/o Shri Mangat
Ram Sharma Care of Bhardwaj, Advocate,
Chamber No 1004, Lawyers, Chamber Complex,
Part-2, Distt. Ludhiana.

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,
Ludhiana.

First Appellant Authority,
O/o State Transport Commissioner, Pb.
Secor-17, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1366 of 2018

Present: Sh. Ajay Sharma appellant is absent.
Sh. Ravinder Singh, Clerk is present on behalf of the
Respondent/PIO.

ORDER:

This case was last heard on 08.10.2018. On last date of hearing, Sh. Ravinder Singh, Clerk was present. He stated that the information has already been supplied to the Appellant. He had also produced a letter dated 05.10.2018 along with a copy of the Lost Information Report which were taken on record.

The Appellant, Shri Ajay Sharma was absent on previous date i.e. 08.10.2018 and is absent today also without any intimation to the Commission. Previously, he was directed to go through the information supplied after the same is received by him and point out deficiencies, if any, to the PIO/Respondent by registered post, with a copy to the Commission. He was also advised to appear in person on the next date.

Today, Sh. Ravinder Singh, Representative of PIO/Respondent presents his authority letter and also letter No.2359 dated 22.11.2018 stating that the requisite information has already been sent to the Appellant, Sh. Ajay Sharma through registered post dated 26.07.2018. He also submits a copy of letter no. 7972 dated 05.10.2018 which is already on record. It is further stated in the letter that no deficiency in the information supplied to the appellant has been pointed out by the appellant and request is made for disposal of the case.

...1/2

Appeal Case No. 1366 of 2018

After discussing with the representative of PIO/Respondent, Sh. Ravinder Singh and going through the case file, the Appellant, Sh. Ajay Sharma is given last opportunity to go through the information supplied to him and point out any deficiencies in the information and to send the same to the PIO/Respondent through registered post, with a copy to the Commission.

The Appellant, Sh. Ajay Sharma is advised to appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing, failing which the case will be decided on merits.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing.

The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.01.2019 at 11 A.M.**

Copies of the order to be sent to the parties.

Dated: 26.11.2018

**Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner,
Punjab**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Ms. Rajni Gupta (RTI Activist), Street No 1,
Sector 16, Mohan Nagar, Near Railway Phatak,
Dera Bassi.140507.M : 7529031584 .

...Appellant

V/s.

REGD. POST

Public Information Officer,
O/o Executive Officer,
Municipal Council, Zirakpur
District S.A.S. Nagar(Mohali)

REGD. POST

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Regional Deputy Director,
Local Government, Patiala.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1650 of 2018

Present: Ms. Rajni Gupta, appellant is absent.
None is present on behalf of PIO/Respondent.

ORDER:

This case was last heard on 08.10.2018. The Appellant Ms. Rajni Gupta was present. She had stated that the PIO has given a vague information. PIO/Respondent was absent without any intimation. Accordingly, he was issued show cause notice under Section under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/denial in supplying the information to the RTI Appellant and why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005. PIO was also given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision, thereto, for a personal hearing with the sought for information before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing.

Today, Ms. Rajni Gupta is absent without any intimation.

The Respondent/PIO is also absent without any intimation to the Commission.

After going through the case file, it has been found that in spite of the show cause notice issued, Respondent/PIO is absent on the 2nd consecutive hearing without any intimation with regard to the supply of requisite information to the Appellant. PIO/Respondent has failed to comply with the directions of the Commission.

Appeal Case No. 1650 of 2018

Hence, I impose a penalty of Rs.2000/- on the PIO/Respondent, office of Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Zirakpur (By Name). He is also directed to supply the requisite information to the Appellant by the next date of hearing. Appellant is also advised to come present on the next date of hearing.

The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **28.01.2019 at 11 A.M.**

Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Dated: 26.11.2018

**Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner,
Punjab**

Copy is forwarded to the Director, Local Government, Punjab, Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35A, Chandigarh to ensure that the compliance of the order is made by the concerned official.

CC to:

(Regd. Post) Director, Local Government,
Punjab, Municipal Bhawan,
Sector-35A, Chandigarh.

**Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner,
Punjab**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Sh. Tejinder Singh,

R/o Village Bholapur, P.O. Ramgarh,
Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana. 141123.

M : 9041004313

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Tehsildar,
Phagwara, Distt. Kapurthala.

First Appellant Authority,

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Phagwara, Distt. Kapurthala.

....Respondents

Appeal Case No.1269 of 2018

Present: The Appellant, Sh. Tejinder Singh is present.

Sh. Jaspal Singh, Reader to Naib Tehsildar is present on behalf of Respondent/ PIO.

ORDER:

This case was last heard on 03.10.2018. On the last date of hearing, Sh.Jaspal Singh, Reader to Naib Tehsildar was present and he had handed over the requisite information to the Appellant.

The Appellant, Sh. Tejinder Singh had requested for an adjournment as he wanted some time to go through the supplied information. Accordingly, the case was adjourned.

Today, Sh.Tejinder Singh, Appellant states that he has pointed out certain deficiencies by e.mail/by hand as names of parties against Point No.1 have not been mentioned. He further states that it is not mentioned as to how many marriages were registered and how many cases belonged to NRIs.

Respondent/PIO is directed to supply the remaining information to the Appellant before the next date of hearing. The Appellant is also advised to appear in person on the next date of hearing.

The matter is adjourned for further hearing on **16.01.2019 at 11 A.M**

Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Sanjiv Garg)

State Information Commissioner,
Punjab

Dated: 26.11.2018

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120 Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Sh. Tejinder Singh, R/o Village Bholapur,
Post Office Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road,
Ludhiana. 141123.M : 9041004313.

.....Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,
O/o SDM- cum- Licensing & Registering
Authority, Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Moga.

..... Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1408 of 2018

Present: The Appellant, Sh. Tejinder Singh is present.
None is present on behalf of the Respondent/PIO.

ORDER

This case was last heard on 08.10.2018. On the last date of hearing, the Appellant was absent but he had sought adjournment by sending an e.mail. Nobody was present on behalf of the PIO/Respondent on the last date. PIO/Respondent was directed to supply the requisite information to the Appellant by registered post. It was also directed that in case information is not available with them, a proper reply be filed in the form of an affidavit as to why the information cannot be supplied to the Appellant.

Today, the Appellant, Sh. Tejinder is present. He states that he has been supplied incomplete information and he has also pointed out deficiencies to the PIO/Respondent.

Today, the Respondent/PIO is absent without any intimation to the Commission. Compliance of the directions of the Commission has also not been made. After going through the case file, it is observed that PIO/Respondent is absent without any intimation on the 2nd consecutive hearing.

In view of the above, PIO O/o, SDM-cum-Licensing & Registering Authority will **Show Cause** under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay in supplying the information to the RTI Appellant and as to why the compensation be not awarded to the information-seeker under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Appeal Case No. 1408 of 2018

In addition, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision thereto, for a personal hearing with the sought for information before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned to **16.01.2019 at 11 A.M.**

Copies of the order be sent to both the parties.

Dated:26.11.2018

Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner,
Punjab

Show Cause:
(Regd.Post) Public Information Officer
(By Name)
O/o SDM-cum-Licensing &
Registering Authority
Moga.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

RED CROSS BUILDING, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Fax 0172-2864110 Phone No-0172-2864120

Email: psicsic31@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us - www.infocommpunjab.com

Bench: Sh. Sanjiv Garg, State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Sh. Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia,
Ward No 18, Street No -2, Kartar Nagar,
Amloh Road, Khanna-141401, Distt Ludhiana.
M : 9417594553, 9464692553

...Appellant

V/s.

Public Information Officer,
O/o Engineer in Chief, PWD B&R,
Punjab, Patiala.

First Appellant Authority,
O/o Addl. Secretary to Govt. of Punjab,
Deptt. Of Public Works (PWD B&R),
Punjab Civil Secretariat-2, Chandigarh.

Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1365 of 2018

Present: The Appellant, Sh. Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia is present.
Sh. Harpreet Singh, Junior Engineer, Patiala Construction
Division PWD (B&R, Patiala) is present on behalf of
Respondent/PIO.

ORDER:

This case was last heard on 08.10.2018. Appellant, Sh. Ramandeep Singh was present and he stated that he has received the incomplete information and had requested for the pending information.

On the last date, Sh. Amit Sharma who was present from Construction Division PWD B& R, Batala, on behalf of Respondent/PIO stated they have no link with this case but they have been ordered by the higher authorities to represent this case.

After discussing with both the parties and going through the case file, the Commission took a serious view of the information brought by Sh. Amit Sharma on behalf of the Respondent/PIO. The said information pertained to Royal Industries but as per the RTI Application dated 08.01.2018, the information requested for by the Appellant relates to M/s The New Janta Co-operative Labour and Construction Society Ltd. Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 1365 of 2018

In view of the above, PIO O/o, Engineer in Chief, PWD B&R, PB, Patiala was issued **Show Cause** under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act and was also given an opportunity under Section 20(1) provision thereto, for a personal hearing with the sought for information before the imposition of such penalty and to clear the facts of this case on the next date of hearing.

Today, Sh. Harpreet Singh, Junior Engineer, Patiala Construction Division PWD (B & R), Patiala who is present on behalf of Respondent/PIO hands over letter No.3421 dated 05.11.2018 to the Appellant, during the Court proceedings, containing the information sought by the Appellant.

On the asking of the Court, the Appellant, after going through the information, expresses his satisfaction. He also gives a letter dated 26.11.2018 to the effect that he is satisfied with the information supplied to him. As such, the Appeal is disposed of and the case is closed.

Dated:26.11.2018

**Sd/-
(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner,
Punjab**